



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,855	10/12/2001	Atsushi Kota	Q66657	. 7448
75	90 07/02/2003	·		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	vania Avenue, N.W. DC 20037-3213		SHENG, TOM V	
·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	4
•			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/974,855 KOTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tom V Sheng	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<del>,</del>	— · s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for forma		ne merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims	zx parte Quayle, 193	55 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiremer	ıt.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0 0 0 440( ) ( 1) (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	. <b>.</b>	1				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		• •	Chann			
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under`35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	• • •					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2673

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: phrase "one by a first one in one of said modes" should likely be "one by one in a first one of said modes." Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rumbaugh et al. (US Patent 6559819 B1).

As to claim 1, Rumbaugh teaches an image display apparatus (figure 2; field emission display 100) comprising:

an image display section in which a plurality of light emitting elements are arranged in a matrix at intersections of a plurality of scan lines (gate lines) and a plurality of data lines (cathode lines; matrix-addressable field emission display is defined by the intersections of anode 124, cathodes 108, and gates 116; column 3, lines 5-21);

Art Unit: 2673

a control circuit (the combination of scan mode control circuit 130, scan mode switching circuit 150, and video controller circuit 160) which selects one of modes as an operation mode (single scan mode or a multi-scan mode) in response to a mode switching signal (output 151 of scan mode switching circuit 150), and outputs a data signal (inherently from video controller circuit 160) and a scan control signal (also inherent in order to operate field emission display 100) based on an image signal to be displayed and said selected mode (column 3, lines 22-39);

a row driving section connected to said plurality of scan lines to sequentially drive said plurality of scan lines based on said scan control signal in a unit determined based on said operation mode (the use of a row driving section sequentially in a passive matrix display is well-known and common);

a column driving section connected to said plurality of data lines to sequentially drive said plurality of data lines based on said data signal (the use of a column driving section sequentially in a passive matrix display is well-known and common);

whereby an image corresponding to said image signal is displayed on said image display section (inherent). As an example, please refer to Kubota et al. (US Patent 6373460 B1) that teaches a conventional dot sequential driving method applicable to LCD, EL, and LED display devices (figure 11; column 1, lines 17-64).

Claim 2 corresponds to the single scan method and is read by Rumbaugh's single scan mode.

Claim 3 corresponds to the double scan method and is read by Rumbaugh's multi-scan mode in the case of a double scan mode (column 3, lines 30-34).

Art Unit: 2673

Claim 4 corresponds to the double sequential scan method. However, the recitation "N by N" is still read by Rumbaugh's multi-can mode due to lack of differentiating details.

Claim 5 corresponds to the single sequential scan method. However, the recitation "N by N" is still read by Rumbaugh's multi-can mode due to lack of differentiating details.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rumbaugh.

As to claim 6, monochromatic display can be provided simply by turning off the other two color pixels or by making all 3-color pixels same intensity and is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to drive Rumbaugh's invention for color or monochromatic display as the image signal dictates.

As to claims 15-17, Rumbaugh teaches selecting single scan or multi-scan display based on measurement of a temperature sensor. Rumbaugh does not teach switching display driving mode based on measurement of an external brightness

Art Unit: 2673

sensor, a remaining charge of battery (powering the display), or user designation set for when receiving a call. However, these are obvious features one of ordinary skills in the art would consider implementing due to the respective natures. For example, in darkness, the display would only need a low brightness for viewing, and in bright outdoors the display would need a higher brightness in order to overcome the ambience. When battery power is low, one would desire a lower brightness for power saving sake over sufficient display. Lastly, it is certainly desirable for a user to set a nominal brightness of display to his/her liking upon receiving a phone call.

6. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rumbaugh as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kuwata et al. (EP Application Publication 0617399 A1).

As to claims 7-14, Rumbaugh is silent as to the specific driving schemes in the double scan or double sequential scan driving methods as claimed. On the other hand, Kuwata teaches a multiple line selection method where a plurality of scanning lines is selected at a time (column 3, lines 2-25). This would solve the frame response issue (column 1, lines 19-47). Note also that the rows driven together needs not be continuously arranged. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kuwata's MLS methodology in Rumbaugh's invention, thus solving frame response issue and providing further flexibility in driving.

Art Unit: 2673

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rumbaugh as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kubota et al. (US Patent 6373460 B1).

As to claim 18, Rumbaugh's display driving device is a field emission display. Rumbaugh does not teach an electroluminescence display apparatus. On the other hand, both field emission display and electroluminescence display are light emitting display. Further, Rumbaugh's driving method is a dot sequential driving method, and Kubota teaches that the conventional dot sequential driving method is applicable to LCD, EL, and LED display devices (figure 11; column 1, lines 17-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rumbaugh's invention for electroluminescence display due to the similar nature of both display types and the extra display option.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom V Sheng whose telephone number is (703) 305-6708. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2673

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

KENT CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

TS June 27, 2003